PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

RECEIVED

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

0 4 AUG 2004

		WIPO PCT					
Applicant's or agent's file reference PO 44888 HJO/jdo	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No. PCT/NL 02/00156	International filing date (day/month/year) 08.03.2002	Priority date (day/month/year) 08.03.2002					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na G01S11/06	ational classification and IPC						
Applicant TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERI	CSSON ET AL.						
Authority under Article 35 and tran	smitted to the applicant according to						
2. This REPORT consists of a total o	f 5 sheets, including this cover sheet	•					
3. This report is also accompanied by	• •						
a. 🛛 sent to the applicant and to	the International Bureau) a total of 18	3 sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
This report contains indications relations	eting to the following items:						
	_						
☐ Box No. I Basis of the opini	on						
—		ventive step and industrial applicability					
☐ Box No. V Reasoned statem	 ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 						
☐ Box No. VI Certain documen		. Gatomon					
Box No. VII Certain defects in							
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observation							
Date of submission of the demand	Date of complet	ion of this report					
	Date of complet	ion of this report					
13.08.2003	03.08.2004	٠.					
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	Authorized Offic	GL September Patronador.					
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656	Ó Donnabhá	in, C					
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	· ·	+49 89 2399-7134					

_	Box No. I Basis of the repo	ort .	
1.	. With regard to the language , to filed, unless otherwise indicate	his report is based on the international application in the language in wild under this item.	hich it wa
	which is the language of a ☐ international search (ur ☐ publication of the intern	Inslations from the original language into the following language, translation furnished for the purposes of: Inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) Inational application (under Rule 12.4) Index examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	·
2.	With regard to the elements * of have been furnished to the receive report as "originally filed" and a	of the international application, this report is based on (replacement she eiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred the are not annexed to this report):	eets whicl o in this
	Description, Pages		
	1-13	received on 26.05.2004 with letter of 26.05.2004	
	Claims, Numbers		
	2-7, 9, 10	received on 26.05.2004 with letter of 26.05.2004	
	1,8	filed with telefax on 27.07.2004	
	Drawings, Sheets		
	1/5-5/5	as originally filed	•
	☐ a sequence listing and/or a	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listin	ng
3.	☐ The amendments have res ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (sp ☐ any table(s) related to se	pecify):	
4.	☐ This report has been estable had not been made, since they Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c) ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specific or any table(s) related to see	s ecify):	d below d in the
	* If item 4 applies. so	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded	1 11

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
1.	The	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ovious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
		the entire international applica	tion,		
	Ø	claims Nos. 1-10			
		because:			
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	Ø	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1,8 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
		see separate sheet			
	Ø	the claims, or said claims Nos. 1-10 are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.			
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
		the written form		has not been furnished	
				does not comply with the standard	
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
				does not comply with the standard	
l		the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	
[×	See separate sheet for further	detail	is ·	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/NL 02/00156

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1 Lack of Clartiy of the Claims (Art. 6 PCT)

The present independent claims (1, 8 and 9) lack clarity (Art. 6 PCT). The feature "allowing the speed indication device to determine information concerning the speed ... <u>relative</u> to a maximum and minimum reception signal strength", present in independent claims 1 and 8, is considered unclear to the extent that it cannot be comprehended. Speed is normally determined relative to, for example, a fixed reference system. Determining speed relative to signal strength appears illogical.

Independent claim 9 lacks clarity as it is not clear from the claim how finding a signal strength minimum is related to determining the velocity. Thus, the claim appears to not contain all the the technical features of the invention (Rule 6.3(a) PCT).

2 Lack of Disclosure (Art. 5 PCT)

The application does not comply with the conditions of Art. 5 PCT, as the invention is not disclosed in the description in a manner sufficiently clear and complete for it to be carried out by the man skilled in the art.

On page 6, lines 8-10, it is stated that the invention is based on the observation that "the distance between power minima in a fading radio environment has a fixed typical distance of lambda/2 where lambda is the wavelength of the radio signal".

However, as the wavelenght of the radio signal is constant (defined by the radio communication system) it is independent of the velocity of the mobile unit. Furthermore, no disclosure can be found in the description linking knowledge of position of the troughs with e.g. the rate at which they occur, to provide a hint to the man skilled in the art as to how a variable velocity could be derived from a constant distance (lambda/2).

Also, the disclosure on page 6, line 31 - page 7, line 3 of the description (this

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/NL 02/00156

feature was included in an amended claim 1) appears to be essential to the invention. However, this disclosure also appears insufficient in so far as it cannot be understood by the man skilled in the art (see §1 above).

Thus, given the above difficulties with deciphering the teaching of the application it is not clear to the man skilled in the art how the velocity can be detected. Thus, the description appears to fundamentally lack disclosure.